CHROM. 12,763

SEPARATION OF AMINO SUGARS AND RELATED COMPOUNDS BY TWO-DIMENSIONAL THIN-LAYER CHROMATOGRAPHY

E. ANN RYAN and ANDREW M. KROPINSKI*

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6 (Canada)

(Received December 18th, 1979)

SUMMARY

Separation of amino compounds, including galactosamine and glucosamine, found in lipopolysaccharide and peptidoglycan, can be accomplished by two-dimensional thin-layer chromatography on cellulose layers. The solvent systems used are: 2-propanol-90% formic acid-water (80:4:20, v/v) in the first dimension and lutidine**water (65:35, v/v) in the second dimension. Compounds were detected using a chromogenic aiahydria spray.**

INTRODUCTION

As part of a detailed study on the cbaracterizatioa of iipopolysaccbaride (LPQ-defective mutants of *Pseuabmorzas aerugzkosti we* **required a rapid, sensitive** and inexpensive technique to screen the LPS preparations for amino compounds. LPS from *P. aeruginosa* strains contain glucosamine, glucosamine phosphate, galactosamine, alanine and usually fucosamine (2-amino-2,6-dideoxy-DL-galactose) and/or quinovosamine (2-amino-2.6-dideoxy-p-glucose)¹⁻³. Using thin-layer chromatography **(TLC), on celIaiose aad s&a, we had been unable to achieve adeqaate separation of gakictosamiae from glucosamia e even though many solvent systems, proported to give separation of these compouads, have been tried,**

In tbis paper we describe a two-dimensional TLC technique which in addition to separating galactosamiae and glucosamine also resolves ail amino compounds coamtoaly found in the LPS and peptidoglycaa layers of the bacterial cell walls.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

TLC plates and solvents

Aluminum plates $(20 \times 20 \text{ cm})$ pre-coated with cellulose (0.1 mm) ; without **fluorescent indicator) were obtained from BDK Chemicals (Toronto, Caaada; Cat. 5537).The developing solvents were: (I) 2-propanol-90% formic acid-water (80:4:20,** $v/v)^4$ and (II) lutidine (2,6-dimethylpyridine)-water (65:35, $v/v)^5$.

It was found that TLC sheets from the supplier contained a large amount of

impurity which manifest itself as a fairly wide yellow band at ffie solvent front_ This material interfered with the even ascension of solvent IL In an attempt to overcome this each plate was developed in solvent I and then dried prior to future use.

Spray reagent6

Solution A: 10 ml of acetic acid and 2 ml of collidine were added to a solution of 1 g of ninhydrin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, U.S.A.) in 50 ml of absolute ethanol. Solution B: 0.5 g of cupric nitrate-trihydrate was dissolved in 50 ml of absolute ethanol.

Prior to use solutions A and B were mixed in the ratio of 50:3 (v/v). We have found that the ten-fold higher concentration of ninhydrin used here gave better definition to the spots following heating $(105^{\circ}C, 2-3$ min).

Standards

AU the amino compounds were from commercial sources except for thamnosamine (2-amino-2,6-dideoxy-L-mannose), quinovosamine and fucosamine which were kindly provided by Dr. M. Perry (National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada). Since the latter two compounds were received as the N-acetyl derivatives they were converted to the hydrochloride salts by hydrolysis with $2 \, M$ HCl at 100°C for 2 h (ref. 7) (M. Perry, personal communication). Stock solutions (10 mg/ml) were prepared in distilled water and frozen until used.

Lipopolysaccharide and cell walls

Samples of *Arthrobacter glacialis* cell walls, kindly provided by Dr. G. Greer, Max Planck Institute für Immunbiologie (Freiberg, G.F.R.) and LPS purified from *P. aeruginosa* KCIIR were hydrolyzed in 6.1 M HCl (Sequinal grade, Pierce, Rockford, IL, U.S.A.) for 4 h at 105°C. Following this period the hydrolysates were extracted with hexane prior to drying.

Procedure

Samples $(1-2 \mu g)$ were spotted at one corner of the TLC plates and these were developed in solvent I for $5-6$ h at 30° C. The plates were then dried and developed at right angles to the first solvent in solvent II $(ca, 6 h)$. Following drying the compounds were visualized using a ninhydrin-cupric nitrate chromogenic spray.

RESULTS

Our objective was to develop a TLC system which would resolve constituents of LPS and peptidoglycan. Although a considerable number of solvent systems were examined by us, and also by Ho⁸, none adequately resolved galactosamine from glucosamine $P-17$. Their resolution has subsequently been achieved by two dimensional TLC employing 2-propanol-formic acid-water (80:4:20)⁴ in the first dimension and lutidine-water (65:35) in the second dimension. The separation of these two compounds together with other amino sugars and amino acids found in bacterial cell envelopes is illustrated in Fig. 1. The difference in colour with the chromogenic ninhydrin spray reagent (Table I) also aids in the differentiation of glucosamine from

TLC **OF** AMINO **SUGARS**

Fig. 1. Resolution of a mixture of fifteen ninhydrin-positive compounds by two-dimensional TLC on cellulose sheets. $1 = \text{Glucosamine phosphate}$; $2 = \text{diaminopimelic acid}$; $3 = \text{ethanolamine}$ phosphate; $4 =$ glycine; $5 =$ galactosamine; $6 =$ glucosamine; $7 =$ glutamic acid; $8 =$ fucosamine; **9 =** β **-alanine; 10 = y-aminobutyric acid; 11 =** α **-aminobutyric acid; 12 = muramic acid; 13 =** quinovosamine; 14 = phenylalanine; 15 = leucine. For actual R_F values see Table I.

galactosamine. The ninhydrin spray reagent was that described by Stahl⁶ and calls for 0.1 g of ninhydrin (solution A; Materials and methods). We observed that this amount gave very faint spots, the different colours of which could not be clearly differentiated photographically. Increasing the ninhydrin concentration ten fo!d greatly increased the staining intensity.

A total of 41 amino acids, polyamines and amino su_gars were chromatographed separately in the two solvent systems and their mobilities and colour responses to the spray reagent are recorded in Table I. One of the few drawbacks to this system was its inability to resolve basic compounds, such as lysine and arginine. These amino compounds possess low mobilities in both solvents and tend to spread out upon chromatography in the second dimension.

The application of this technique to separating amino compounds in LPS and cell wall hydrolysates can be seen *in* Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. Good separation of all expected components was obtained in both cases. All LPS hydrolysates tested (Salmonella typhimurium and P. *aeruginosa*) showed a smear near the origin which may **mask the** identification of compounds which run in that area.

The TLC plates, which we obtained commercially, appeared to contain a high amount of impurities (see Materials and methods) and our early studies employed plates pre-run in solvent 1. More recently, however, it has been noted that the wash did not, in any way, improve the resolution of the compounds, and therefore in order to keep the procedure as simple as possible this step may be eliminated.

TABLE I

RF VALUES OF AMINO ACIDS, AMINO SUGARS AND RELATED COMPOUNDS ON CELLULOSE PLATES USING TWO SOLVENT SYSTEMS

Each value is the average of duplicate determination.

The system reported here gives good resolution of glucosamine and galactosamine together with other amino compounds commonly found in bacterial cell envelopes.

Fig. 2. Chromatographic separation of amino compounds in hydrolysates of P. aeruginosa lipopoly**sac&wide. 1 = Galactosamine; 2 = glucosamine; 3 = alanine; 4** *=* **quinowsamine.**

Fig. 3. TLC separation of amino compounds in A. glacialis cell wall hydrolysates. 1 = Diaminopimelic acid; 2 = glycine; 3 = glucosamine; 4 = glutamic acid; 5 = fucosamine; 6 = quinovos $amine$; $7 = alanine$; $8 = muramic acid$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Medical and National Research Council **of Canada for funding this research.**

REFERENCES

- 1 I. R. Chester, P. M. Meadow and T. L. Pitt, J. Gen. Microtiol., 78 (1973) 305.
- 2 S. G. Wilkinson and L. Galbraith, Eur. J. Biochem., 52 (1975) 331.
- 3 K. Jarell and A. M. Kropinski, Microbios., 19 (1978) 103.
- 4 E. von Arx and R. Neher, J. Chromatogr., 12 (1963) 329.
- 5 C. J. Boone and L. Pine, Applied Microbiol., 16 (1968) 279.
- 6 K. G. Krebs, D. Heusser and H. Wimmer, in E. Stahl (Editor), Thin Layer Chromatography, Springer, New York, 1969, 2nd ed., p. 889.
- 7 M. Perry, personal communication.
- 8 D. Ho, M.Sc. Thesis, Queen's University, Kingston, 1978.
- 9 I. Sjöholm, Acta. Chem. Scand., 18 (1964) 889.
- 10 E. Nurnberg, Arch. Pharmaz., 292 (1959) 610.
- 11 A. R. Fahmy, A. Niederwiesser, G. Pataki and M. Brenner, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 44 (1961) 2022.
- 12 M. Brenner and A. Niederwieser, Experientia, 16 (1960) 378.
- 13 M. Brenner and A. Niederwieser, Experientia, 17 (1961) 237.
- 14 M. Brenner, A. Niederwieser and G. Pataki, in E. Stahl (Editor), Thin Layer Chromatography, Springer, New York, 1969, 2nd ed., p. 764.
- 15 R. G. Spiro, Methods Enzymol., 8 (1966) 3.
- 16 E. Bancher and J. Washūttl, Mikrochim. Acta, (1967) 225.
- 17 R. Got, R. I. Cheftel, J. Fort and J. Moretti, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 136 (1967) 320.